UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,141	12/05/2001	Alexander Beeck	033275-316	3862
Robert S. Swed	7590 12/18/200 ker	EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/002,141	BEECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan Wiehe	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 C	October 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,13 and 22-28</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,13 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,13 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	20/ (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform. 6) Other:	al Patent Application				

10/002,141 Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 October 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 31 October 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that Yamarik does not disclose that the tip cooling hole are dimensioned are oriented to enable the introduction of a borescope there through and that the second section is not tangential to the curved flow section. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Yamarik's second section, i.e. tip cooling holes (58), are clearly dimensioned and oriented to enable the introduction of a borescope as would be evident to one of ordinary skill in the art. Further, as evidenced by DE 19801804 C2, it is known in the art of turbine blade inspection that borescopes are capable of introduction into small holes and are highly maneuverable so as to bend and twist as needed. Additionally, the section second of Yamarik's is clearly tangential to

the curved flow path, if for no other reason than the walls of Yamarik are identical to that of applicant's preferred embodiment.

In regard to the new claims, Applicant contends that the arrangement of walls in the preferred embodiment is different from those disclosed by Yamarik. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The cross-sectional views of both Yamarik and applicant's invention are identical except for the use of Yamarik's guide vane (54). Contrary to Applicant's assertion the use of this vane does not interfere with the inertial force utilized to separate the particles from the primary flow, since the guide vane is not extend into the area of the first passage (36). Also, Yamarik's second section would provide a straight line of sight to the second section, i.e. top wall, of second wall.

Claim Objections

Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The use of a second wall in claim 22 is inconstant with the arrangement of the other claims. Specifically, the second wall of claim 22 defines the second section and the second portion. However, in the other claims the third wall is common to both the second section and second portion.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number:

10/002,141

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 3.16 and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Yamarik et al. (4.278,400), hereinafter "Yamarik". Yamarik discloses a rotating blade (of a turbine having a coolant passage with a curved flow section (36,38) in a fist flow direction and a second passage (56) parallel to the axis of the turbine and branching off the coolant passage at tangentially to the curved flow section. The second passage is arranged in the neighborhood of the blade tip and extends to the trailing edge of the blade. Further, the second passage is capable of both acting as a dust discharge aperture, due to its tangential relationship with the curved passage and its radially outward location, as well as allowing for the introduction of a borescope therethrough. The second passage (58) acts as a dust removal passage due to the inertial effects of the rotation of the blades on the relatively high mass dust particles separating these particles in the curved flow section while the main coolant flow turns radially inward to the second section. Further, Yamarik's blade includes a first section (36) which flow toward the curved flow section, a second passage (58) flowing tangentially away from the curved section, and a second section (26) flowing away from the curved section. A first wall (34) defined the first and second sections. A second wall includes a first portion (22) defining the first section and a second portion (16) defining the second passage (56). A third wall includes a first portion (28) defining the second section and parallel to the first wall (34) and a second portion defining the second passage. Additionally, there would be a straight line of sight through the second passage to the second section (16) of the second wall.

Art Unit: 3745

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Wiehe whose telephone number is (571)272-8648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur, and alternate Fri., 7am-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 3745

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

12/13/07